Idaho Falls Plumbing Board Meeting

Recording Name: Idaho Falls Plumbing 8_5_2021

Transcript Prepared By:



720-287-3710 1780 S. Bellaire St. Suite 400 Denver, CO 80222

DUNS Number: 037801851 CAGE Code: 6C7D5 Tax ID #: 27-2983097 Nielsen: -- John Nielsen. I'm the Plumbing Program Manager. I recognize a lot of faces coming to the code update classes and everything. Appreciate you being here at this time of day. We had to juggle it around 'cause electrical, building and HVAC all went before us. So we're in the -- we're finishing the day off.

2.1

Tim Frost, he's the, um, Bureau Chief for the Admin side of new -- this new DOPL setup. I'm going to bring him up and explain Zero-Based Regulations 'cause I'm sure as soon as you guys hear zero-based you're like they're throwing everything out. You know, there goes licenses. There goes everything. It's going to be a free-for-all. That is not what it is, and I'll let Tim explain that part before we delve into the rules.

17 Frost:

So Tim Frost. I'm the Bureau Chief for the Division of Occupational and Professional Licenses. Um, Zero-Based Regulation comes from an executive order that was issued by Governor Little at the beginning of 2020, so it's 2020-01. And what it does is it applies to all agencies and all of our boards throughout the entire state. And it creates a review cycle from the year 2021 to 2025 where every agency will go through the process that's set forth by our Division of

Financial Management. And the process in itself is when it comes for that board's year -- so in, you know, in the instance of the Plumbing Board, uh, in the year -- next year 2022 they'll utilize the whole year to essentially do, if you will, a full review, repeal and replace of the rules.

And so the terminology zero-based comes from each board is required to repeal their rule chapter, but they're also required to replace it. And in doing it they're required to do a prospective analysis on each one of those rules. And so the goal is, um, a cost-benefit analysis of is, is what we've been doing working? Um, is what we're doing narrowly tailored to take care of the public safety and life safety benefit? Um, has it -- you know, if we've had this requirement in place for 10 years, is it achieving in the outcomes we're looking into achieve? Is there a different way that we can go about doing this?

2.1

And so, uh, as John noted, it's not a, uh, it's not a premise to remove the trade or remove licensure or remove anything, but it is a -- it, it is coming from the perspective of let's review it, how we do licensing. Let's review how we do permitting. Um, is

there areas where we can simplify this? Is there areas where it's, it's duplicative and we can make it more seam- -- you know, seamless? Is the process by which we utilize and connect with your online systems, could, could that be better as, you know, our agency transitions?

Um, you know, I, I think every, every rule, if you will, is open for review and open for thoughts, and, uh, the goal of these listening sessions we're doing around the state is to truly be that. It's, it's to listen to your, your all thoughts on, um, the different areas of what's happening in the trade, what our current rules are, what the current code is, what are the elements that we need to know.

2.1

Um, you know, in doing this repeal/replace, uh, it doesn't mean that how the trade has been governed over the past 60 to 100 years is incorrect. What it means is, um, we're simply asking, hey, what's going to happen in the next 10 to 20 years and how can we work together between the board and the industry to navigate, um, what changes we need to take place because of what's happening in the economy and what's happening in your profession.

So, um, as you go through this, please feel free to give us your thoughts whether that's in support or opposition of anything. It's, it's an open floor for you all to, uh, give, give your feedback on. So I

really appreciate all of you showing up as well.

Nielsen:

Thanks, Tim. So since it is a listening session, like I said, I'd appreciate it if you'd at least come up to the middle here and state your name, what licenses you hold, and what your concerns or ideas are. And what I'm going to do is basically go right through the rules and hit hot, you know, hot -- some hot topics, not -- some not so hot. But see what you guys' opinion on stuff is.

2.1

So as we get started, the first one here is expiring permits. Now the rules says, you know, 120 days.

I'll be honest with you. We do not enforce this rule.

There is talk to come up with another solution which might be you pull the permit. It lasts a year. If you want to extend it, you pay another \$65. And again, just ideas. So if there's any thoughts or comments on expiring permits, I'd love to hear it.

I'll be taking notes also. But again, please if you have something to say, state your name and license and

let me know what you think. Or if you don't have a 1 2 topic, I'm just going to assume it's good and I'll 3 move right on. 4 5 The other one along with permits, as you know, we're 6 at square footage. Everything is based on square 7 footage for residential. Would anybody like to see that change? Back in the day when I first started it 8 9 was a base fee, so much per fixtures. Uh, a couple 10 years ago I got that passed in HVAC because it made it 11 simpler for the specialty guys who were just doing 12 fireplace installations or something, you know. were getting mad they were pay \$340 per square footage 13 14 piece to put in one fireplace, so we went with the 15 base fee. Does industry here see anything like that 16 they'd like to see change or square footage good and -17 Go with fixtures. Go with fixtures. 18 Dickson: 19 Nielsen: Could you state your name? 20 Dickson: Kord Dickson, contractor, uh, journeyman. Uh, I work 2.1 for Sermon, and personally I think it should be done 22 on fixture units not on square footage. 23 Nielsen: Okay. Anybody else? Show of hands. All right. Did 24 you have a comment?

Yeah. I'd like to see it go back to the fixtures

25

Dickson:

'cause we're getting these houses. I mean there's bonus rooms and everything else they include in the square footages in these houses that we don't have anything up there.

Nielsen: And your name?

Sibbett: Uh, Kim Sibbett, um, contractor. And it -- uh, I just
-- I think it works out a little bit better. It's
easier for us to bid a job when we're doing that. I
think when -- in the long run so --

And again, this is obviously residential. So again, it's just a little bit of paperwork on our side and seeing what we come up with. And one of the topics here is they combined 11 self-governing agencies. So they didn't just take DBS and change it to Occupational and Professional Licenses. They brought in all these other ones. The end result is to help the contractor and the consumer.

2.1

Nielsen:

And you know, we need enough money to provide you guys with services you want. Again, we don't -- you know, we're not for profit. We're not out there trying to make money, but we do have to pay our staff. We travel a bunch. You know, we're not just sitting there in city limits doing stuff. So you know, that's a good point. We can bring it up and discuss it.

Also just because we're discussing it -- just full disclosure -- doesn't mean it might not or might happen or would happen, guarantee.

But again what I've noticed in the years four people in a board meeting will come up with the ideas of what to do and how to do it. And then it's just a matter of the board. Then I'd go Downtown and try to sell it, good, bad, right, wrong and nobody shows up. So now when we do come up with the final plan, which as Tim said is a year and a half from now, you know, before it goes to the legislature we can say we talked to 50 plumbers in Idaho Falls, Pocatello. We hit them all. Here's what they had to say. Industry wants it. It's cost effective. You know, I'm not adding burden to you. So keep that in mind as we go through this. Um, anything else on permits that you might have, thoughts or ideas?

2.1

Sibbett: Back to the first thing on expiring permits, you know, with some of these houses take a year and a half to build. I mean they're not like these jobs that are 120 days and we're done.

Nielsen: No.

24 Sibbett:

I think, I think -- I would like to see them good for two years.

Nielsen: If you -- so if it was good for two years, then you wouldn't have an issue with a reactivation fee.

'Cause what we see on our end, you know, these keep going, keep going. Codes change and, you know, depending on what's going on they'll call in and it just keeps getting bumped. I mean we've got -- you know, I've seen five-year-old permits that never had an inspection because -- but they knew to call before it expired. But that's what we're looking for, ideas like that. So I appreciate that.

2.1

Uh, the commercial side, anything -- is that good to go, percentages? All right. Let's get into licensing. Does anybody want to see anything different? And let's just start with apprentices. And if you're going to play lawyer, apprentices are registered, so kind of like the general contractors. But anything on the apprentice side you guys would like to see changed? Yeah.

20 York:

Yeah. My name is Kelly York. I'm a plumber contractor, public works, all that stuff. Um, this industry is in desperate need. We need a helper program. We can't get kids in even to come out and see if they want to be in the trade without saying you have to go be an apprentice. Um, God the help the

program, we're stagnant with what's locked up and for apprentice program who are already working for somebody, how do we bring somebody new into the industry at 18 years old, a farm kid, and have them, hey, come help us on a job and see if you like this, this, uh, this trade. And then once you take him to lunch and tell him (inaudible - 00:11:32) the state for four years and then they quit 'cause a 19-year-old kid doesn't know what they want to do.

Nielsen: Okay.

2.1

York: That's hugely important.

Guerrero: So Nate, a journeyman, uh, and an instructor at CEI.

Um, the schools do have, um, a program where they're

bringing in high school students for a career looksee,

um, instructors, plumbers, auto tech, every one of us,

electricians and all do, uh, get to give presentations

to students. Um, so in fairness there is a bit of

that going on. We do get to present ourselves, um,

through the schools. Uh, I see what you're saying

though. We're not necessarily getting them in the

field to give them a lot of hands on but, but --

(Crosstalk)

York:

Yeah, we need to get them in the field but shuffling their hand or -- nobody is asking a helper to put pipe together but getting them out there to, to see how the

process works. And hey, but I had this argument with 1 2 -- or a discussion with a, with a -- one of the 3 inspectors. I'm not going to mention names. 4 said well a lot of the -- what we were seeing is the 5 contractor would leave a helper stuck in, in the 6 helper position. I said well wait a minute. 7 isn't a police state. Uh, you know, that's up to that 8 If he wants to be a helper for 10 years, 9 that's on him. If he --10 Male 1: Right. That's his choice. 11 -- he could put on his pants and quit and go somewhere York: 12 else that would allow him to become an apprentice or 13 he can go be an apprentice himself. 14 So before I get to you, let me just throw something in Nielsen: here real quick. But this is what we're looking for. 15 16 This is great. And after your comment or question, 17 I'll throw something out to you and we'll see where it 18 goes. 19 York: Okay. 20 Sibbett: Kim Sibbett, contractor. Um, I, uh, it's like me. 2.1 want to hire my grandkids to come out and help me for 22 one day or two days. They're summertime help. 23 They're going to school. I need help during the 24 summer to dig trenches, backfill trenches. The grunt 25 work that -- and they -- they're willing to do it but

I can't do it because they gotta be registered.

Nielsen: Okay. Now let me -- seeing where this is going, I don't feel like I'm going to get anything thrown at me. And listen to how I phrase it. What if we got rid of apprentice registration? The card itself.

Sibbett: What's that going to do?

Nielsen: It's going to let you hire -- have your kids work out there. It's going to not have the -- okay, you know,

there. It's going to not have the -- okay, you know, because some people 50 bucks for five years, you know, out of their pocket when they're trying to get started, you know, we're not getting rich off of it so it's, it's not like anything's going to go there.

2.1

The civil penalties, so when you got your guy out there you went to a supply house and you find the apprentice out there unsupervised, you know, there's a -- oh what's -- there's a new -- and I'll say it's not new but in DOPL, DBS permission-less innovation. How can you guys accomplish what we have here without us regulating it down to the gnat's ass? So you'd still -- they'd still have to show four years of OJT.

They'd still have to show four years of school. But you would be the ones verifying that okay they were doing it. We didn't see anything from a contractor, a journeyman that wouldn't count. And again, this is

```
1
              just ideas.
    Anderson: So Josh Anderson, contract plumber. I like where
 2
 3
              you're going, but without signing up for that four-
 4
              year card, how would anybody verify the years?
 5
    Sibbett:
              But you.
 6
    Anderson: I mean say I just signed a card because I like the
 7
              kid. Like I'm done with him. Let -- you know, what's
 8
              to prevent me from doing that? I live by my own self-
 9
              conscience here because we all went through hell to
10
                         We don't want to just hand it to the next
              get here.
              quy 'cause we like him. But --
11
12
    Nielsen:
              But your end goal was journeyman, right?
13
    Anderson: Yeah.
14
              If they don't come to us without showing that they did
    Nielsen:
15
              the four years, did the school, they're not going to
16
              be a journeyman. And there's guys --
17
     (Crosstalk)
    Anderson: -- schools. If they didn't sign up --
18
19
    Nielsen:
              We -- if the schools don't show us four years of
20
              certificates --
2.1
    Anderson: Okay.
22
              -- they don't have any education as far as, you know,
    Nielsen:
23
              we look at it.
24
    Bates:
                     Um, Matt Bates, College of Eastern Idaho.
              Yeah.
25
              actually, um, am the manager of all the apprentice
```

programs at CEI. Um, we already do that. We already make sure -- part of my job during the day is literally checking credentials for guys that are coming into my program. So we don't, we don't even care about the license. I don't care if a kid has a license. I don't even ask him.

Nielsen: And it's good to see --

If he wants to go to school --Bates:

-- them face to face. Nielsen:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

Bates:

Nielsen:

Yeah. If he wants to go to school, I let him go to school because who am I -- you know, maybe he's going to high school or maybe he's working another job while he's trying to get his apprenticeship done. I won't deny the education, so. But I do make sure that as they progress through the years they've got the right education and the right hours in.

So again, this is what we're here to kind of kick around, come up with some new solutions because, you know, on our end, okay, he was registered. still working at McDonalds or Burger King those five years? He went to school. You don't have to be registered to go to school, and you don't have to go to school to be registered. You know, for the 50plus, you know, older people here who when we had our one year card. Before it got renewed I had to show

```
1
              them a one-year certificate. That's -- those days are
 2
              gone.
 3
              I guess that would be -- the, the guestion though is
    Sibbett:
 4
              how, how would the contractor or the employer verify
 5
              that this kid is a, a first, second or third level.
 6
              Anybody could say -- I've had a ton of apprentices
 7
              come through and they tell me they're a fourth year
 8
              apprentice and they don't know how to solder a pipe.
 9
              I have no way of verifying.
10
    Bates:
              Do we now?
11
              They're, they're, they're an apprentice.
    York:
12
    Sibbett:
              Yeah. I mean ask for their apprentice card.
13
    (Crosstalk)
14
              You can ask for the apprentice card and see that
    Nielsen:
15
              they've been, uh --
16
              -- it's easier to pay something more than it is to
    Sibbett:
17
              take money away from them.
18
    Nielsen:
              Registered for four years and if they can't solder, is
19
              that -- that's --
20
    York:
              I understand that but when you're hiring somebody and
2.1
              you're hiring somebody based off of, off of what they
22
              put on paper, I obviously can fire them pretty
23
              quickly. But you have to be able to gauge that person
24
              at the entry pay level.
25
    Anderson: I, I really like the idea of that helper position
```

1 because I, I've got a 14-year-old son, and I say you 2 don't have to be a plumber. But I want you to go to 3 work with me, and I want to teach you the trade that you can stick in your back pocket if working in the 4 5 site doesn't work out, you know. And, and I'm not --6 you know, I don't have a problem handing him 50 bucks, 7 but I don't feel like -- I don't feel comfortable with 8 you guys just kind of getting rid of the 9 apprenticeship program. 10 See, but you didn't listen to what I said. Nielsen: 11 Anderson: Well no, you're right. 12 Nielsen: Me giving you a card for --13 Anderson: Right. 14 Nielsen: -- four years or five years is not the apprenticeship 15 program. 16 Anderson: Right. 17 Male 2: How many of us even carry our cards. That's the thing 18 as an apprentice. Most of them are on their mom's 19 dresser. 20 Well I guess if you get rid of the card, like I said, York: 2.1 I still think there's a need for a helper program. 22 Male 3: Absolutely. 23 Just because they don't have a -- now, now what you're York: 24 doing is we're encouraging people to kind of slide in

under the radar 'cause they don't have a card.

25

there has to be an agreement that, hey, a kid can come in at entry level just to put his feet in the water and he can leave if he don't like it. But if you want to, whether you're a first year after trying it or your 10th year you finally decide, you know what, maybe I ought to become a plumber, then, you know, there's that whole area where he's not an apprentice or he's not a journeyman. He's a helper, and he's just basically labor.

Nielsen: Okay. I'm -- one more and then I'm going to -Winn: Yeah, Steve Winn, journeyman with First Call Jewel.

Um, my concern with the helper program -- I see the need there, um, but I think if you have a helper program it should be a time limit on that or a seasonal permit because --

York: Why?

Winn:

2.1

-- what I think happen -- will happen I think we have to look at the forecast of the implication of what that looks like 10 years from now. So if you've got a contractor who's just trying to be competitive, you've got to pay your apprentices for their advancement and their schooling, their education, and when they get carded. If we just have helpers at low pay, now it's going to shift the way we look at business altogether. I can have helpers from here on out, none of them are

apprentices but I've got them working on the job.

I've got -- I can pay them as little as possible. You know, what are we doing to our industry when we devalue our own trade? So I think that should be either seasonal permit or something there but not just free reign helpers forevermore.

Nielsen:

Okay. I'm going to move on, but I'm going to say one thing real quick on this because again this is open forum. If you guys think of something, you can email me, give me a call, you know, after this. This is just a one-time deal. When you talk about adding another card, a helper card or something, it's kind of going the opposite way of what we're trying to do. So you know, it's not that difficult to say leave the card there, don't mess with it and be done with it, and we can move on to the next topic here. So but I mean keep them coming.

2.1

Well now I'm going to go back to the apprentice one though real quick because this affects the Idaho guys. Right now out-of-state can come in, show eight years working legally in the state they come from, and can sit for the journeyman exam. How do you feel if, uh, the Idaho guys had that option? Forget work -- they still got the registration, all that.

1 Sibbett: What do you mean? Us, us go to another state? 2 Nielsen: No. 3 Sibbett: We have no --4 An Idaho guy comes in, registers with DOPL, and Nielsen: No. 5 if he works legally in Idaho with his registration 6 after eight years, would you be okay with him taking 7 the journeyman's exam? 8 Sibbett: If he's been working as a journeyman for those eight 9 years, yes. 10 Nielsen: Not as a journeyman. He's an apprentice. 11 working for eight years. 12 Is that without school? Without school. Male 4: Nielsen: Mm-Hmm. 13 14 Without schooling --Male 4: 15 Nielsen: 'Cause right now --16 Male 4: -- no. 17 -- anybody around us can come in and work in any other Nielsen: 18 state, and if they show they worked for eight years, 19 they get to sit for the journeyman exam. 20 Male 4: And that's all you look at is the eight years? 2.1 Nielsen: Mm-Hmm. 22 Bryce Clark, uh, plumbing contractor. Um, you know, Clark:

for that at all.

I'd be against that totally. Uh, they need to go to

school. It's part of the process, so I wouldn't be

23

24

25

```
1
    York:
              I, I disagree. I think if they can pass the, the test
 2
 3
              It's Mike, right?
    Nielsen:
 4
    York:
              Kelly. Sorry. Kelly.
 5
    Nielsen:
              Kelly.
 6
    York:
              I think if a person can pass a test. Like I said, I
 7
              think we're getting too involved in other people's
 8
              business.
                         If a person can come in and show that they
 9
              have aptitude and can pass the test, then when they go
10
              get a job, if they can't perform and pass the, the
11
              permits, they'll lose their job. We don't need to
12
              police them.
    Guerrero: Um, Nate. Um, I'm actually kind of following with
13
14
              Steve here on this one on the last comment.
                                                            I -- I'm
15
              against that, uh, simply because, uh, I can get my
16
              first year guys to find everything they need in the
17
              codebook well enough to pass a journeyman test.
                                                                Ιt
18
              doesn't mean they know IDAPA. Um, but no I --
19
    York:
              But can they do the practical?
20
     (Crosstalk)
2.1
    Guerrero: Well in there we do have some stipulations going with
22
              the practical. I just don't think that having an
23
              eight-year laborer is going to do anything for, um,
24
              somebody turning out as a journeyman.
25
              Well if, if he's an eight-year -- Kord with Sermon.
    Dickson:
```

```
If he's an eight-year, uh, helper, he's got a lot of
 1
 2
              experience (inaudible - 00:24:31). Okay.
                                                           He should
 3
              not have any experience but might get it.
 4
              helper.
 5
    Guerrero: Technically true.
 6
    Dickson:
              That's right.
 7
    (Crosstalk)
 8
    York:
              He's a ditch digger.
 9
    Dickson:
              So --
10
              He's an eight-year ditch digger.
    York:
11
              Okay. There, there should be no question there.
    Dickson:
12
              is a helper. If he goes out, he grabs pipe, brings it
              in, sets it down, and goes out and digs, digs a hole.
13
14
              That's what a helper does.
                                           Okav.
15
    Nielsen:
              All right. We're going to get it back on track here
16
              well because --
17
    Guerrero: Yeah. I think we're on the same page.
18
    Nielsen:
              The only -- I'm going to throw out an example though.
19
              There was a guy worked in Magic Valley four years for
20
              a plumbing company, could show me all his paystubs.
2.1
              He didn't go to school. So he gets to start over for
22
              another four years.
23
              That's his fault.
    York:
24
    Nielsen:
              I agree but at the same time, you know, I don't think
25
              you're -- and this is just my opinion. If a guy's
```

registered, working for somebody out there for eight years and wants to sit for the exam, is that something the state should regulate? And again, no decisions made here but, you know, I'm going to -- 'cause I get the general gist of the room, so. But again, if you come up with something, let me know.

2.1

Does anybody see anything that needs to be done with the journeyman exam? Right now rule basically you take it, you pass it, then you get the practical. The practical is a hot topic. Does anybody -- again, I'm going to go with my opinion. If they're out there working with all you great contractors and doing everything the way they should be doing, do they really need to come into a lab, dry fit some pipe have one of my --

17 (Crosstalk)

18 Nielsen: -- inspectors go in there and give him a card?

19 Dickson: I agree.

20 York: Yeah. That's (inaudible - 00:26:38).

Nielsen: Raise of hands. Who thinks there needs to be a practical? Okay. Plumbing contractor licenses, the electricians anybody can go in and get their contractor, their business license. It's been kicked

around, you know, years past on the plumbing side.

```
that something you guys think you'd like to see?
 1
 2
              You'd still have to have your registered apprentices,
 3
              your licensed journeymen out there working, but
 4
              somebody come in, test for the business, do the
 5
              paperwork, run the business day to day operations.
 6
              But out in the field you're still licensed tradesman.
 7
    Dickson:
              Not sure what the question is.
 8
    Male 5:
              I'm just trying to follow you. You're saying they're
 9
              not a plumber at all but they can go in and just get
10
              the plumbing license and then as long as they have the
11
              higher people in the field they can be a plumbing --
12
              It's not -- it's a -- it would be a plumbing business
    Nielsen:
13
              license.
14
    Anderson: They wouldn't be contractors but the business could
15
              have the license and hire journeymen --
16
    Nielsen:
              Yes.
17
    Anderson: -- to do the work.
18
    Nielsen:
              Yes.
19
    Dickson:
              So no.
20
    (Crosstalk)
2.1
    York:
              What is a contractor's license then if that's the way
22
              if that's the way you're saying it's going to be or --
23
              I'm not saying anything is going to be.
    Nielsen:
24
    (Crosstalk)
25
              It shouldn't go that way.
    York:
```

Would they have a qualified individual? 1 Male 6: You would need a qualified individual, yes. 2 Nielsen: 3 York: I'm totally against that. I mean you're, you're 4 setting yourself and the industry for failure. You're 5 going to get these people that build track houses come They're not going to hire anybody. They're going 6 7 to come in, take a business license. They're going to 8 go out, hire some guy be a journeyman, and he's going 9 to, he's going to cut everybody out of it. 10 He'd still have to have a contractor because Nielsen: 11 somebody's has got to pull the permit. I mean I'm 12 sure you guys have heard of somebody sitting there collecting a check for \$500 a year or a month. 13 14 They're the contractor. They hold our current 15 contractor's license. But they're not doing anything, 16 and they got a journeyman out there. 17 Anderson: So you're saying a business would come in, get a 18 business contracting permit, and then have to hire a 19 licensed contractor --20 Nielsen: I'm, I'm saying if your wife wanted to run the 2.1 paperwork side of your business, she can go in, take 22 that test, and become the plumbing contractor. 23 Anderson: Okay. 24 Nielsen: She would still need a journeyman out there in the 25 field and, you know, again, however we arranged it

```
like the electricians. Something to think about.
 1
 2
    Anderson: So to go back to what Ken was saying, so like a bigger
 3
              builder in the area, any of them --
 4
              Yeah, they could take a --
    York:
 5
    Anderson: -- they could have somebody -- they could go and take
 6
              the test and then they could go to like other
 7
              companies and take their journeyman's and say hey come
 8
              work for me and basically have -- plumb their own
 9
              houses, right?
10
    Nielsen:
              Can't you do that right now? If you got enough money
11
              and enough work, go around and offer a journeyman more
12
              money.
13
    Sibbett:
              Yeah.
                      I think we're all totally against that.
14
    Dickson:
              Yeah.
15
    Sibbett:
              Fort the record.
16
    Nielsen:
              One more on the licensing. And does anybody -- do you
17
              think we should sit and hold the two licenses
18
              journeyman and contractor? You have to be a
19
              journeyman to get your contractor, but once you got
20
              your contractor do you --
2.1
    Sibbett:
              That's not what you said in the last statement.
22
              This is a different topic now.
    Nielsen:
23
    Sibbett:
              You didn't have to be a journeyman to get a
              contractor.
24
25
              As a business license. Right now I'm saying --
    Nielsen:
```

1 A contractor's license --Sibbett: 2 Nielsen: -- I'm saying that topic is over and I'm saying do you 3 want to sit and have to make sure that your journeyman 4 -- you watch your journeyman may expire and then you 5 watch your contractor may expire and make sure it's all the same or because you went to -- you got your 6 7 journeyman's card, you waited two and a half years, 8 you took your contractor's test, now you're a 9 contractor. You don't have to show that you have a 10 journeyman -- an active journeyman's card. 11 Sibbett: That's fine as long as you keep that contractor's 12 license up and do the continuing education that's I'm fine with that so we don't have to, uh, 13 required. 14 retain two licenses, pay for two licenses. 15 Nielsen: And that's what I'm getting at. 16 Anderson: Yeah. 17 Nielsen: 'Cause we're -- you know, I get so many guys that let 18 their journeyman's expire because that's a different 19 date. Their contractor is still good. And all of a 20 sudden the contractor goes and we're like, sorry, you 2.1 can't do anything. You know, or technically if their 22 journeyman expires and they're out in the field 23 working, they shouldn't be working 'cause their 24 journeyman expired.

25

Dickson:

Right.

```
1
    Nielsen:
              That would go away because --
 2
    (Crosstalk)
 3
              -- you did the stuff to get your contractor's.
    Nielsen:
 4
                      You'd only have to worry about one license.
    Dickson:
 5
               Once a --
 6
    York:
               How are you going to keep track of that when you got -
 7
               - if you have contractors out there, pretty soon they
 8
               got a contractor's license so they got the right to do
 9
               whatever they want anyway even though they were never
10
               a journeyman because you gave them a business license.
               An out-of-state -- please, get off the business
11
    Nielsen:
12
               license.
13
    York:
               No, but that's, that's what you're doing. You're
14
               short-circuiting the whole system.
15
    Nielsen:
               No, I'm not.
16
               There's nothing wrong with taking out a journeyman
    York:
17
               license and keeping it up.
               And that's what we're, you know, here to get the
18
    Nielsen:
19
               opinions on.
20
    Guerrero: But he brought up a good point. So like back to what
2.1
               we were talking about is somebody, you know, got their
22
               contractor license, you know, they could kind of --
23
     (Crosstalk)
24
    Guerrero: Could they technically go plumb? Like how do you
25
               differentiate like us that went through the system,
```

were journeymen, they got the contractor? It's just 1 2 somebody that technically can't plumb but they have 3 the contractor license? You see what I'm saying. 4 can you differentiate out in the field? Can they 5 really be plumbing? 6 York: They show you the contractor license. Here it is. 7 I'm going to ask Warren to explain the electrical side Nielsen: of it real quick just so --8 9 Wing: Okay. 10 He's our Electrical Program Manager. Nielsen: 11 So the electrical program does this right now. Wing: 12 And they've been doing it for years. And the -- when they started it was, it was a way for a lot of 13 14 (inaudible - 00:33:11) different ways where, um, 15 people to get -- there's, there's things you can do 16 when you're like a minority owner and stuff like that 17 of a company. And it wasn't just that. Other people, you know, businesses, businesses that wanted 18 19 electrical contractor companies. So it's -- you got 20 to, you got to look at it as a completely separate 2.1 thing, right. 22 23 It's you got -- let's say I wanted, I wanted -- if 24 something like this happened. Let's say I wanted to 25 own a plumbing company, right. I would have to hire a master plumber, if you will. Okay. I would take the business test. I would do all the business side of it. I would do the books. I would do the taxes. I would run it. But the master plumber -- like right now it's a master electrician, he's the guy that's basically responsible for all the installations. And the way the laws are written up is if he doesn't make -- if he changes his license or business power, he can actually lose his license. Okay. All the business stuff is ran by the business person.

2.1

You know, what we have a lot of times we had the wife would run the company and she would be -- they were different (inaudible - 00:34:15). They would get, you know, minority credits for all kinds of different stuff going on. And the husband as the master electrician would be the signatory supervisor. So that -- the contractor, the person who takes the contractor test, they don't have a trade license. They can't do any work out in the field, and they don't do any work out in the field.

If they want to do work out in the field, they got to go get a journeyman license and they got to get their hours underneath that master electrician, right. So

all it's doing is just giving -- it's just giving 1 2 somebody an opportunity to run the company. You still 3 got the master electrician. In your case the master 4 contractor. And in our case the way it works is 5 exactly like that. The quy, if somebody comes in to 6 get a contracting company, they can't do electrical 7 installations period, at all. They just have to hire an electrician 8 York: Sure they can. 9 or a journeyman to do it. 10 Well they can't physically do it. Wing: 11 York: No, but they hire the people. 12 Wina: Yes. You can have an out-of-state contractor come in --13 York: 14 Which is no different, which is no different than if Wing: 15 I'm a plumbing -- if I'm a master plumber or what you 16 guys call a journeyman plumber or whatever, it's no 17 different that if I'm a journeyman plumber and I hire 18 somebody and I sit in the office and do all the work. 19 (Crosstalk) 20 Anderson: I think what we're scared of is -- and I'm just going 2.1 to use a name like Fall Creek. Fall Creek comes in, 22 applies for their license, and says hey, buddy, we 23 don't need you anymore 'cause we got this journeyman 24 and contractor and because we're going to do 400 homes 25 a year, we're going to give him all the work and pay

```
him this much and you're going to -- we're going to
 1
              take this much from you. And I think that's what all
 2
 3
              of us are scared about.
 4
              No. I understand. Again, it's --
    Nielsen:
 5
    Anderson: And so I, I -- and I don't even remember your original
 6
              question. But that's what we're all scared of is like
 7
              losing these contracts with these contractors who do
              tons and tons of work.
 8
 9
              They, they can do that now.
    Wing:
10
    Anderson: Not really because they have to hire a contract
11
              plumber that doesn't work for them and take bids from
12
                   See, if he owns your -- if he owns a contractor,
13
              he's going to say I'm paying you 50 bucks an hour.
14
              Get out there and get it done and he keeps all the
15
              money which that's my job. I don't want to do that to
16
              Fall Creek or whoever. I don't want to --
17
    Nielsen:
              Okay.
18
    Anderson: -- give them that power.
19
    Nielsen:
              I got Idaho Falls down as a no.
20
    Anderson: Thank you.
2.1
    Nielsen:
              The next --
22
    Anderson: So what was your electrical question?
23
              It was (inaudible - 00:36:48).
    Wina:
24
    (Crosstalk)
25
    Anderson: As a contractor now, I feel like I don't, I don't need
```

my journeyman license because I got the stuff up. 1 Like it should just carry it. 2 3 Nielsen: Let me -- hang on now. Let me get -- so the original 4 question was should the contractor license be a 5 business or an individual license. So on that one I'm 6 going to say you don't want it as a business license. 7 Anderson: As a business license, no. But as me being the 8 contractor, the businessman, I don't want to have to 9 renew my journeyman. 10 Nielsen: So show of hands on once you get your contractor's 11 license 'cause you've gone through the steps, how many 12 would like to carry just one card? Wow. How manv 13 don't? How many don't care? Because half of you 14 don't care. 15 (Crosstalk) 16 York: It is one card. It's just written on the back. 17 You know, you're right. Nielsen: 18 York: All they do is add things to the back of it. It's 19 just still one card. 20 (Crosstalk) 2.1 So I'm going to put Idaho Falls down as a yes for that Nielsen: 22 one. 23 Anderson: Well on your continuing ed though, those hours all 2.4 roll in together. So it really -- like he's saying, 25 it really doesn't matter because my, my journeyman and

contractor hours and continuing ed covers both of it. 1 2 So I quess I don't care. 3 Nielsen: Don? 4 Don: Is there any way to turn it around and when you go get 5 your contractor's license so instead of having to 6 remember a few dates they just put it down as one 7 date? That's something we need to be looking into. 8 Nielsen: 9 (Crosstalk) 10 Because that way you don't have to worry about it if Don: 11 you got your contractor. You're a journeyman. 12 comes to you all in one shot so you don't have to 13 worry about two types of year --14 (Crosstalk) 15 Nielsen: And we are working with this new merger and 16 everything -- and you guys probably know full well our 17 system right now is about had it, and we're still 18 limping it along because with the consolidation of 19 occupational licensing, the Division of Building 20 Safety, the med boards and everything we're coming up 2.1 with a new computer system they're trying to get, you 22 know, so it all works together. But the dates the 23 There's no reason we shouldn't be able to same, ves. 24 do that. 25 Or eliminate the journeyman when we become contractor. Dickson:

1 Nielsen: Or that. But again, seven of you raised your hand, 2 four of you --3 Okay. (Inaudible - 00:39:22) plumber contractor, not Dickson: 4 a plumbing business. Okay. Plumbing and business 5 license allows you to do plumbing. What you have to 6 do is have, have a contractor or a journeyman working 7 for you. 8 Sibbett: Yeah, 10 bucks and you (inaudible - 00:39:41). 9 Dickson: Right. That's what's saving you. It's not a big 10 deal, so. How about continuing education? 11 Nielsen: 12 Dickson: No. 13 Anderson: No. 14 (Crosstalk) 15 York: I have screenshots of my continuing education has to 16 be what tool would I use to cut cast iron pipe and 17 other questions what do I do if one sprinkler has got 18 more pressure than the other. I mean what we're -- if 19 a person is not a good journeyman, the market will 20 weed itself out. 2.1 Nielsen: So --22 We shouldn't have to sit there and take a test if York: 23 we're on our computer. It used to be it was a good 24 idea if the manufacturers would come to the supply 25 houses and they'd give credits. The supply houses

aren't doing that anymore because the paperwork fiasco and so now all we're doing is sending, every one of us, 150 bucks to Oregon to somebody that doesn't care what's going on in Idaho and they go what color is your -- what do you do if your dog comes in with shit on his feet? I mean they're stupid questions.

Guerrero: Um, Nate, instructor. Um, I am all for continued education, um, simply because I've worked around enough people in the field that more of us forgot a lot of things or don't know, uh, new things coming out, and it's all a surprise to them when the inspector comes out and tells them they did something wrong or whatnot. But I don't necessarily like the online side of things. Um, I do like the idea of more face-to-face stuff with school or if somebody would sit down to talk about it and whatnot, um, because I also think I know more people that pay their kids to take the online stuff than actually doing it themselves. So I'm for education, the continued ed but not necessarily the current route of it.

Nielsen: Anybody else got any -- Don.

2.1

Don:

I say continuous education we keep it that's in there because doing it as an inspector side of it there's so many times that I walk in the job and say no that's not right, and then I turn around and they're like

well when did that change or when did the state do 1 2 this or when did this and this and this. And then I 3 have to sit down there and explain it, and then I've 4 even gotten to the point where it's well show me where 5 it is in the book. It's in there. But the continuing -- the online stuff doesn't address 6 York: 7 it, any of it that's in there. 8 Don: The thing is though on that point of it that's in 9 there the state has came out and says yes this program 10 meets our criteria that's in there so long as --Nielsen: Go ahead. 11 12 Anderson: I, I don't mind continuing ed, but I felt like online 13 just wasn't applicable to my trade. Like drainage, 14 drain water, rainwater in Idaho, who the hell cares? 15 Put it down a downspout and get on with life. 16 isn't Washington or Oregon. But I do -- I mean if you 17 quys were like we'll have a class on this night for 18 four hours or whatever and this is it, I would be 19 here. 20 Nielsen: Yes. 2.1 Anderson: But I don't, I don't -- the online wasn't applicable 22 So I -- that's why I said I don't like it. 23 was a waste. 24 Nielsen: So --25 Anderson: I would take a class. I would do something in person

where like Don can say, hey, when you build these 1 2 valves you're doing it wrong. This is what it needs 3 to look like. Oh, okay. You know, because him and I 4 had this problem, and I fixed it. So I, I understand 5 what he's saying but -- okay. 6 Nielsen: Don. 7 See, I, I -- I don't know. I'm going to kind of throw Don: it back on even the owners that are in there because 8 9 as far as I know, anybody can go in there and throw a 10 program in to DBS and say, hey, I want to be able to 11 teaching continuous ed. 12 (Crosstalk) 13 They do have to meet minimum standards. Nielsen: 14 Yes, they have to meet the standards for the state. Don: 15 Nielsen: And they have to be a journeyman or like the 16 wholesalers, you know, those guys have --17 (Crosstalk) 18 Nielsen: And I'm assuming that, you know, Viega (ph), Yeah. 19 the guy out there putting in -- putting on the program 20 for Viega works for Viega and, you know, and they do 2.1 send in on letterhead that they're with Viega or 22 whoever. But so would you do anything hour wise, 23 change any of that up? 24 Dickson: Required hours? 25 Nielsen: Yes.

```
1
    (Crosstalk)
              Hours would be fine if they would just use --
 2
 3
    (Crosstalk)
 4
    Nielsen:
              Well I can tell you though that you will get half as
 5
              many people saying they don't want to take the time to
 6
              go to an online class. And again, anybody you hold a
 7
              journeyman's card can create classes and hold classes.
              You know, so it's not -- these are the people that
 8
 9
              just send in their stuff to meet the minimum
10
              requirements and we could put it out there for you.
11
              I'd just like to see Idaho build their own course, you
    Male 7:
12
              know. We'd have our own education for journeymen and
              -- or for apprentices and whatever. I mean if there's
13
14
              a way you can do this, then do it ourselves rather
15
              than go to Oregon. I mean that's, that's my feeling.
16
              What they do in Oregon and Washington doesn't always
17
              necessarily meet what we're doing here.
18
    Nielsen:
              All right. Specialty licenses. I mean I'm assuming
19
              most of you are full-fledged journeymen contractors
20
              but is there any of the specialty guys? Bill, which
2.1
              one do you hold?
22
    Hill:
              Uh, I've got a four excavation. But what I wanted to
23
              ask you about -- I hope I'm not talking out of turn
24
              here.
                     I, I have a jurisdictional question.
25
    Nielsen:
              Okay.
                     And your name.
```

My name is Reed Hill. I have a company called 3 H Construction. We are an excavating contractor. My question is in line with the jurisdiction no -- I'm not interested in getting into the plumbing field. I have brothers that are involved in that and family. But we do the excavation and the exterior work that tie into the plumbing.

The question I have is we come out of the public works division in the, in the city streets. We're running a collection line. We're 12 feet deep. We have to satisfy OSHA standards and run to the direction of the professional engineer. Well all of a sudden we run that collection line into a property that we find out it's a private line even though it's on the plans and it's been engineered. The plumbing inspector comes along after that line is buried and says we'll I got to inspect that. And I'm saying no. This is a public works.

2.1

The problem we've got is we've tied in out in the street which is public works. That eight-inch collection line moves into the private property. We discover that it's not -- the easement isn't wide enough so the city hasn't accepted that as a public

works line. Now the plumbing department is claiming 1 2 jurisdiction on that. 3 So are we talking just like feed into the property Nielsen: 4 line or are you --5 Hill: No, we're talking about 150 feet into the property. 6 Now we lay T's on there to -- for the service lines 7 and my understanding is is the service line is under 8 the direction of the, of the plumbing department. 9 From the building to the collection line. Nielsen: 10 Hill: From the building to the collection line. 11 Nielsen: Correct. 12 Hill: But the plumbing inspector is telling me no. I've got 13 to see that whole eight-inch line and, and I'm having 14 some real heartburn on that because as a public works 15 contractor we're under certain criteria on how to 16 install that line. We have their test. We have to 17 camera the line. We have to jump through all those 18 hoops. Now he comes along and says I've got to see 19 that and I'm saying no. 20 Nielsen: Now without --2.1 Hill: You're, you're not going to see that because 22 that line is, is eight, nine feet deep. If you wanted 23 to see it, you should have been there while we were 24 installing it because we have a safety issue here. 25 Was that the state's jurisdiction? Nielsen:

```
The, uh, the collection line?
 1
    Hill:
 2
    Nielsen:
              Well what's your -- the situation you're talking
 3
              about?
 4
    Hill:
              The situation I'm talking about is, is we come out of
 5
              public works out on the city street which is under the
 6
              direction of the city under public works.
 7
    Nielsen:
              Was it a plumbing -- a state plumbing inspector though
              that --
 8
 9
    Hill:
              Yes.
10
                     We can talk about this afterwards.
    Nielsen:
              Okay.
11
                     I'd, I'd like to do that. I got other things I
    Hill:
              Okav.
12
              need to get to, but I'd like to get -- if your phone
              number is on here, I'd like to have a conversation --
13
14
    Nielsen:
              Sure. And just so you know, I got cards back there.
15
              And don't -- you know, before you leave sign in too.
16
              A little reminder. But I do have cards, but I'll get
17
              with you afterwards.
18
    Hill:
              If I can just ask a question. I can come back.
19
              got some things I got to take care of here for about
20
              45 minutes that I need to leave. Will you still be
2.1
              around?
22
    Nielsen:
              Possibly.
23
    Hill:
              Okay.
24
    Nielsen:
              I got to clean up the room and everything afterwards,
25
              so.
```

```
1
    Hill:
               'Cause I'd like to visit with you more on that --
 2
    Nielsen:
              All right.
 3
              -- and help your understanding.
    Hill:
 4
              Do you want me to talk to him?
    Styman:
 5
    Nielsen:
              Okay. Yeah. Do you want to talk to -- actually yeah
 6
               'cause he's the supervisor for the inspectors here,
 7
              Josh Styman (ph).
 8
    Hill:
              Thank you.
 9
    Nielsen:
              So now we get to the code amendments. And looking
10
              through here, we have in the current IDAPA code 50
11
              amendments, and honestly I think that a lot of them
12
              have been put in place to try and help industry and
13
              the consumer. I know there's some here -- and I'm
14
              going to throw it out there -- that now is the time.
15
              I'm not going to try and push getting the 2021 UPC
16
              adopted in 2023. There's, you know, some changes but
17
              not a whole bunch. I'm on the technical committee so
18
              I can see these, and, you know, I'll throw out one of
19
              them that's in the 2021 that I think's pretty good
20
              idea and get you guys' opinion on it. Currently on a
2.1
              three-inch horizontal line you can only have three
22
              water closets. In the 2021 Uniform Plumbing Code they
              went to five. You know --
23
24
    Anderson: More work for the service guy. Go for it.
25
```

Male 8:

Keep me busy.

Anderson: Let's do her.

Nielsen: But you know, whatever you -- you know, as you read through -- and these are all online -- read through these amendments. And you know, to me this is where you have to ask yourself what's costly but not life safety because yeah there's stuff in there that's life safety. There's a lot in there that isn't. It's convenience.

And the one I heard the most about when we adopted the 15 was the cleanouts. How many want -- you know, again, you know, right now five-foot, you know, away from the crawl and bases stacks above the floor, if you don't like that, now is the time to let me know or if you don't care. But again, it's any of this stuff that you feel okay do we really need to go this route or have it this stringent? Everybody's good with the code the way it is.

19 York:

For the most part.

20 Male 8:

For the most part.

21 (Crosstalk)

Nielsen: One I would like to ask you about because it's been brought up in the board meetings is we took, you know, it's 94 and seismic straps on water heaters. It was never enforced. We deleted it from the codebook. Now

1 we had that earthquake a year or two ago that we felt 2 in Boise and now all of a sudden they want seismic 3 strapping back. Show of hands how many is good with 4 it being out of the codebook? 5 Anderson: The county enforcement has to do it anyways, so it 6 doesn't matter. 7 Nielsen: Doesn't matter. 8 Male 8: Building code? 9 Building code does it. Male 7: 10 Anderson: But you're saying --11 But there's --Nielsen: 12 Anderson: -- come back to the plumbing code? 13 Nielsen: Yes, yes. I mean there's -- there are people out 14 there that want it back in the plumbing code. 15 Male 9: I mean it's not a bad idea. 16 Then again, if you have an earthquake bad, bad enough York: 17 to knock that water heater over then it's not going to 18 The house is gone. So I mean -matter. 19 Nielsen: I mean as we go -- just so you know, as we go through 20 this Zero-Based Regulations and there's a form that's 2.1 on the administrative website, and this is, this is 22 the details on how to fill out the form. But when --23 as we go through here and look at all these they --24 and when I say they, the legislators, the governor's 25 office, DFM, everybody that looks at all our proposed

changes after it gets through the board, they are going to want justification for it all. So keep that in mind, you know, as you're sitting there thinking about it over a beer tonight and you're coming up with these ideas and you're like oh we need that to happen. Great.

Let me know but if you got any, you know -- it's like I went down there to get medical gas and the definition of plumbing systems and statute the first thing they asked how many people died from it not being inspected? How long has it not been in that statute? Ever since I started plumbing. All right. Don't worry about it. So again, keep that stuff in mind as we go through this process. It can't just be a well we think this might happen and this could happen. We'll need some examples and some, uh, data on it.

2.1

The final one here, civil penalties. How many like civil penalties the way they currently are? And what -- I'll read to you here. You know, basically what it says. So except for acts described in subsection 401.01 and 401.07, they're subject to a civil penalty if not more than \$200 for the first offense and not

more than 1,000 for each one thereafter. And those 1 two offenses are acting as a plumbing contractor 2 3 without a license and then gross violations. others which are certificate registration, um, 4 5 performing work without a license or registration, 6 failure to let us know your history if you come from a 7 previous state, um, performance outside the scope of 8 the certificate, permit fees, inspections, and 9 corrections. Those -- actually those are the -- yeah, 10 those are the 200 to 1,000. The plumbing contractor 11 is 500 to 1,000. 13

12

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

So what we've discussed because the governor likes to talk about, you know, reducing barriers and all that stuff, if we go that route or, you know, somebody else goes that route and we're sitting here with \$100 fine for acting as a contractor or \$500 fine, you know, this in my opinion should be -- have a little more weight. And you know, I don't think anybody in here would disagree with that, so.

2.1

Male 9: How often does state have a problem with that?

22 Nielsen:

Male 9:

With?

23 Male 9: People acting as a contractor and --

24 Nielsen:

Quite a bit.

25

Quite a bit, huh?

```
Anderson: Raise the fine.
 1
 2
    Male 9:
              Well if it's not working, then you need to fine --
 3
    (Crosstalk)
 4
    Male 9:
              It's not working.
 5
    York:
               (Inaudible - 00:57:21) other contractor as well.
                                                                  It's
 6
              a credible offense. That's got teeth on it when
 7
              people go out and you pay the $100 fine and be over
 8
              with it. But if it's a misdemeanor, people pay a
 9
              little bit more attention.
10
              Well I know when I was working for a contractor over
    Nielsen:
11
              in Seneca -- I've been with the state for 17 years so
12
              it's probably closer to 20 years ago working in
              another state where they have boiler licenses. And I
13
14
              hooked up a, you know, 200-gallon water heater.
15
              it had hot water in, hot water out and, you know, two-
16
              inch gas line considered as a boiler and my former
17
              boss still hasn't forgiven me for the $3,000 it cost
18
              him when the inspector walked in and said you're doing
19
              boiler work without a boiler license.
20
    Anderson: And did you know you were doing boiler work?
2.1
    Nielsen:
              I don't remember.
22
    Anderson: See I, I would blame the boss and make him pay because
23
              he didn't teach you. I mean nothing personal, but if
24
              my guy was doing that, I would have hit him with a
25
              freaking shovel.
```

```
Well you're the (inaudible - 00:58:29) because --
 1
    Nielsen:
 2
    Anderson: And I would have paid worker's comp.
 3
              -- because an -- I won't get into it. So is there
    Nielsen:
 4
              anything else? I mean that, you know, basically goes
 5
              through our whole rules and again, that's what zero-
 6
              based is is strictly going over these rules.
 7
              Now is that on the DBS website or is that on the
    Male 10:
              Occupational License website?
 8
 9
              DBS. We still have a DBS website and our rules and
    Nielsen:
10
              statutes are all still there.
              And that, like what you have there and the proposed
11
    Male 10:
12
              changes are on there.
13
    Nielsen:
              No, this is the current. We didn't run any rule
14
              changes last year --
15
    Male 10:
              Okay.
16
    Nielsen:
              -- because of the -- well the governor put a
17
              moratorium on running rules, so plumbing was scheduled
18
              to go before the legislature in 2024. When this DOPL
19
              change happened plumbing, HVAC and electrical will be
20
              going in 2023. So you know, we're starting now.
2.1
              We're hitting nine cities on this tour collecting the
22
              information, your thoughts, your ideas, and then like
23
              I said, it will get pieced together. And it will go
24
              through the negotiated rulemaking process in front of
25
              the board and, you know, work its way to the
```

legislature. And I'd also like to say, you know, comments, questions, you know, let me know if -- this doesn't have to be, you know, between now and 2023. You got my email address and everything else. You got ideas. You don't have to wait, you know, to let me know.

Uh, like (inaudible - 01:00:17), I don't know if any

2.1

Male 11:

Uh, like (inaudible - 01:00:17), I don't know if any changes have been made specifically on this but mobile home improved electric water heaters. Years ago we've changed, changed up the (inaudible - 01:00:27).

Everything electrically, electrical contract to change that and put in a regular water heater, got our hands slapped for that. Uh, said that we needed a mobile home approved to match the wiring but the wiring had been changed and that was, that was a big debate.

Homeowner got upset, wrote a letter into the state, and, you know, cheap -- homeowner washed their hands and said no I'm not going to have you guys change it. So there was some forgiveness and things. So what's, what's going on with that, do you know?

Nielsen: I haven't heard a whole bunch. It, it kind of seems to come in waves. But if you call me up and ask what water heater can you put in a manufactured home, I'm going to tell you the water heater needs to be listed for it.

Male 11: 1 Yeah. 2 Nielsen: So --3 So just the under -- you know, with the lower wattage Male 11: 4 because of the wiring but if that's not -- you know, 5 to me it was just like if that's fixed that's taken 6 care of electrically, then it's still a no. And, and 7 so it just didn't make sense. 8 Nielsen: Well manufactured homes are regulated by the feds. They're HUD. 9 10 Male 11: Okay.

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

Nielsen: Modulars are stick built that are done under a roof. So a -- you know, a modular -- and you'll see there's a different sticker out there on those. They're a white and black kind of rectangular sticker that says State of Idaho and all the building information stuff. That's all been looked at in the factory inside. don't go inside that house once it's out in the field 'cause it's all inspected in the factory. But those are all UPC, NEC, IRC built. And but the manufactured homes, which are HUDS, whether they do it or not I know they don't but they got, you know, piles of manuals on what regulations are supposed to be met. And so the simple answer on those they have to be listed for manufactured homes.

Male 12: Is there a possibility when rules changes come up a

committee can give us a two-month period to comment on 1 2 them before they take them to a legislature for 3 change? There is a certain amount of time, and I don't 4 Nielsen: 5 remember what it is off the top of my head. I don't 6 think it's two months legally by the negotiated rule 7 side. But I know in the past when we've negotiated up and note- -- I should say noticed it up there usually 8 9 is 'cause we go -- the board meetings are kind of our 10 public meetings for it and they're at least two months 11 apart, you know, every other month. So you know, I --12 if you want the exact, you know, I can't tell you yeah 13 you can have two months but usually we do it it's 14 beyond what law requires us to do as far as having it 15 noticed. I mean --16 Male 12: A lot of water heaters change. You know, they get 17 comment to change things before it goes to the 18 legislature and gets put into law. I mean it would be 19 nice to have the rules come out of what they're 20 intending to change that we have a period of comment 2.1 before it goes to the legislature. 22 Well what you get is -- when it's all said and done, Nielsen: 23 gone through the negotiated rulemaking process -- I 24 should say during that -- those rules are for the most

part read underlined, light blue strikethrough so you

25

could see what's coming, what's going. I mean you do have to go to the website and look at it or, you know, but they are out there. And when I say notice it up that is the time period where you can go in and on our website when we get to that point it will say negotiated rule comments and you can comment on that form. But also if they're out there and for whatever reason, send me an email. I mean I work with the board. I can handle the comments. And that's what the legislature and the governor and everybody is looking for is that your comments at least got heard. Whether or not they were listened to, they saw them and they heard them and it was there.

Male 12: Okay.

2.1

Don:

Nielsen: Anything else? Don.

I got, I got a question that's in there. So the state goes through their gas lines and everything it's through the IFGC, Fuel Gas Code that's in there. There's discrepancy for like teaching wise and taking your journeyman's test. There's a discrepancy to change in the Fuel Gas Code in the plumbing codebook in the IFGC that's in there. Is there a way that we can either get rid of the Fuel Gas Code in the plumbing section and have it cut off at the IFGC that's in there?

1 Nielsen: That's a good suggestion. 2 Don: Or even have it just go one way or the other and have 3 basically either plumbers do Fuel Gas Code or 4 mechanical guys do Fuel Gas Code. I think --5 Nielsen: 6 (Crosstalk) 7 I was going to say I think, you know, getting one Nielsen: 8 trade to give up the gas line one way or the other is, 9 you know, wouldn't fly, but you're right. The UPC --10 and I'm not going to say discrepancies -- they don't There's some things in there that, you know, 11 don't --12 The big one and I've had problems with this with a 13 Don: 14 plumbing contractor that was in there and he opened up 15 his plumbing codebook and says right here it tells me 16 that I can penetrate underground in the house which it 17 does in the codebook. It lets you in the Chapter 12 18 that's in there. It tells you that you can penetrate 19 underground. Fuel Gas Code tells you you can't. 20 Nielsen: True. 2.1 Don: That's in there. So is there a way that we can get in 22 there and say basically get rid of the gas section and 23 say we have to go through the IFGC? 24 Nielsen: Well there's multiple ways. Either we get -- you 25 know, the plumbers buy off on getting rid of the fuel

gas chapter or you talk with the HVAC side of the 1 2 house and have them amend that section 'cause you're 3 not going to get rid of the Fuel Gas Code to match --4 That just makes it one. York: That's all. 5 Nielsen: Yeah. 6 York: That just makes it one. 7 I was just trying to figure out a way. I mean the Don: plumbers that are in here and stuff like that, would 8 9 you guys mind if they got rid of Chapter 12 that's in 10 there? (Crosstalk) 11 12 Don: Because right now if you go through Intermountain Gas that's in here where they go through fuel gas because 13 14 I deal with it quite a bit in Rexburg, and if it is 15 not done by the IFGC, they will not set a meter that's 16 in there. And it doesn't matter. If you walk in 17 there and say it's in the plumbing codebook and I can 18 go it this way, they basically tell you to go screw 19 yourself. Dickson: 20 Well but they adopted, you know, basically. That's a 2.1 different place. 22 But the thing is --Don: 23 (Crosstalk) 24 Nielsen: Well I'll tell you that this, you know, this has been 25 going on for years, and I spoke with our deputy

attorney general because, you know, it is in the 1 2 plumbing code and it's adopted. And if that -- if 3 it's being run for plumbing, you know, your water 4 heater, something to do with plumbing and a plumber is 5 doing it, they can go out of the UPC. 6 Don: Until your gas company and everybody else comes in and 7 shuts you down. 8 Nielsen: I have not had a gas company come to me with it and I 9 mean it -- it's been this way since HVAC became a 10 program in 2004. Both chapters have been sitting 11 there. 12 Don: I just, I just had a house in Rexburg with the gas 13 company -- it's been there for quite a while and they 14 came in and they added to it and they actually 15 penetrated underneath, goes in there, it was done by a 16 plumbing contractor, and the gas company out there 17 told them that they wouldn't set the meter. 18 I'm not telling you, you know, the discussion can't be Nielsen: 19 had, but I mean I think the simpler route would be to work it out with the HVAC Board one way or the other. 20 2.1 And again, to amend something, not get rid of 22 chapters. But again --23 So just out of curiosity on my end (inaudible -Don: 01:09:28) do with Intermountain Gas? 24 25 Absolutely nothing. They look to see that we Nielsen:

inspected the installation before they set the meter 1 but we don't regulate anything they do. 2 3 Don: You don't regulate anything. So what -- basically 4 what they say goes. 5 Dickson: Yes. 6 Nielsen: And when I say the state doesn't reg- -- I'm going to 7 say DOPL does not regulate. They've got I'm sure 8 their own -- somebody they answer to. 9 It's their equipment. Dickson: 10 So with everything coming together like with the York: 11 occupational licenses, that's like the same as a 12 hairstylist, right. We're all -- that's the same. 13 Nielsen: They are under DOPL. 14 So like obviously DBS was making money 'cause of the Clark: 15 permit fees, right. They're one of the few that was -16 17 (Crosstalk) 18 Nielsen: Right. 19 Clark: So like for our inspectors to -- you know, there's 20 going to be a bunch of them to do enforcement or is it 2.1 all going to be lumped into -- like all those permit 22 fees are going in there actually putting a lot of 23 money in, not taking taxpayer money. Is there going 24 to be any way to --25 Honestly, Bryce, I don't know at this point because Nielsen:

they're still working through the org chart how things are going to work out. As far as your permit money, you know, anything you put into former DBS it's all still in a plumbing fund. They're going to the star - what --

(Crosstalk)

Nielsen:

2.1

Luma (ph). See, I don't -- but it's supposedly going to be more transparent. And you know, again, if you like to get on the web and see where our money is going and everything and how it all breaks out under the DOPL umbrella, you'll be able to see that. You know, I would like to think that -- you know, maybe not so much budgeted for another compliance guy but, you know, I would push for one and that's discussions we'll probably be having in the future 'cause the inspectors still do it, you know, to an extent. But I mean it is nice on the inspectors because they're buried right now that we do have the one compliance officer who came from occupational licensing, so. Anything else anybody's got.

Clark: Is the etracking (ph) staying right now?

Nielsen: As far as etracking we are looking at a whole new system, we are looking at a whole new system but that's going to be a ways out. And again, you know, even though it's not a rule, if you guys have ideas,

what you would like to see on that system, what would make it easier, you know, when you get in there, a lot of guys now 90 something percent of our permits are pulled online. But if there's some way I know I've heard it left and right, your email notices on whether, you know, you got a correction notice. It's all hit and miss, and it's something that the third party, you know, is working on and we're trying to get it taken care of I know.

But it's just kind of the end of its lifecycle, and we're trying to keep it going until we can get a new system. So please bear with this because it's just as frustrating to the inspectors knowing they woke up and they don't see any inspections, and they know you guys have called them in, so. We're aware of it, and we are working on it.

Clark: Can you still call in inspections with a permit number?

20 Nielsen:

Yes, I believe so.

21 Clark:

Is there a way they could have like a bin for a contractor so if somebody wants to call in inspections and say they did their own trim they call back the contractor that did it and they'd see a permit number and they'd call it in?

1 Now on that -- I wasn't following you, Bryce. Nielsen: 2 that one they should not be taken I believe phone 3 calls and office staff, you know, scheduling the 4 inspection. It needs to go through the IDR. 5 it's through the IDR, you should, um, I believe have 6 something there. But --7 Clark: I know there was a few times and I was expecting that 8 that happened. Like I went to an inspection and 9 thought the contractor called it in and actually the 10 homeowner had done it and I called the contractor 11 because there was a correction and he's like I didn't 12 call it in. I haven't been to that job in six months. 13 So he was mad I went and done it but --14 And again, that's the sort, you know, things we're Nielsen: 15 looking in to trying to prevent. 16 Clark: If you can just have a bin and --Yeah. 17 (Crosstalk) 18 -- to, you know, verify it was them or something but -Clark: 19 20 Nielsen: Anyone else? Going once, twice. Appreciate you 2.1 coming. Again, seriously grab a card, let me know. 22 Appreciate you being here. Thanks. 23 24

25

1 2 TRANSCRIBER'S CERTIFICATE 3 4 I, Michelle Eaves, do hereby certify that I have 5 listened to the recording of the foregoing; further that the 6 foregoing transcript, Pages 1 through 58, was reduced to 7 typewritten form from the digital recording; and that the 8 foregoing is an accurate record of the recording as above 9 transcribed in this matter. 10 DATED this 28th day of September, 2021. 11 12 13 Mychile Haves 14 MICHELLE EAVES 15 Transcription Outsourcing, LLC 1780 S. Bellaire St. 16 Suite 400 17 Denver, CO 80222 Tel: 720-287-3710 18 Fax: 720-952-9897 19 DUNS Number: 037801851 CAGE Code: 6C7D5 20 Tax ID #: 27-2983097 2.1 22 23 24 25